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Social media has changed the way that millions of people communicate, including lawyers. Lawyers’ use of social media poses some important ethical issues, such as unintended or faulty retainers on social media and how lawyers’ social media use can affect their duty to the court and their duty of confidentiality. Whilst some Australian law societies and similar organisations have released ethical guidelines for lawyers about this issue, others have not. This article argues that uniform, standalone national guidelines about lawyers’ social media use are necessary. .................................................................