

# BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION LAW JOURNAL

Volume 27, Number 3

June 2011

## EDITORIAL

<b>Identify the aim</b> .....	143
-------------------------------	-----

BOOK REVIEW – <i>Michael Christie SC</i> <i>Hudson's Building and Engineering Contracts</i> by Nicholas Dennys, Mark Raeside and Robert Clay .....	144
---	-----

## ARTICLES

### **The use of Scott Schedules in technology, engineering and construction litigation** – *Richard Manly SC*

The Scott Schedule has been used as a management tool in complex building and construction disputes before the courts for more than 90 years. They are also popular tools for use in domestic and international arbitrations. The Rules of Court in England and Wales, the States and Territories of Australia, as well as the Federal Court of Australia, provide either expressly or in a general way for the delivery of Scott Schedules in appropriate cases. The aims of modern day case management, namely the just, efficient, timely and cost-effective resolution of disputes are all satisfied by use of the Scott Schedule. ....	151
---	-----

### **An evaluation of Australian security of payment and United States construction lien law** – *Natalie Speranza*

This article compares the Australian security of payment legislation with the United States system of construction lien laws. It evaluates Australia's abandonment of lien statutes, and considers the possibility of implementing a regime which combines both construction lien and progress payment claims. ....	169
---	-----

## REPORTS

<b>Cardona v Brown</b> .....	194
<b>Mansouri v Aquamist Pty Ltd</b> .....	201
<b>57 Moss Rd Pty Ltd v T&amp;M Buckley Pty Ltd t/a Shailer Constructions</b> .....	207

