# AUSTRALASIAN DISPUTE RESOLUTION JOURNAL

Volume 23, Number 4

Ν	lov	ام/	mk	مد	r 2	<b>Λ</b> 1	2
ı١	1()	νei	111	æ	_	v	

CASE NOTES – David Spencer	227
VALE PROFESSOR ROGER FISHER	232
ARTICLES	
International arbitration in Australia: 2011/2012 in review – $Albert\ Monichino\ SC$ and $Alex\ Fawke$	
This article updates readers on the most important developments in international arbitration in Australia in the past year. It surveys legislative, case law, policy and other developments in Australia since 1 September 2011.	234
The Dispute Resolution Act 2011 (Cth) and the meaning of "genuine steps": Formalising the common law requirement of "good faith" – $Harry\ Orr\ Hobbs$	
Prior to initiating litigation, parties should negotiate in order to limit the issues in dispute and avoid a costly court process. But what does it mean to negotiate? Historically the common law conditioned negotiation at a good faith standard – requiring parties to turn their minds to the issues in dispute and enter the process honestly and willingly. However, this standard proved difficult to grasp and many jurisdictions have since enacted legislation designed to formalise the process. This article examines the latest enactment – the Commonwealth formulation, which requires parties to "take genuine steps to resolve disputes" and compares it to both the New South Wales formulation, which requires parties "to take reasonable steps" and the common law good faith standard. This analysis will demonstrate that the concept of genuine steps formalises the common law approach, and as opposed to reasonable steps, more accurately achieves the object and purposes of alternative dispute resolution processes.	249
Choosing child-inclusive mediation – Felicity Bell, Judy Cashmore, Patrick Parkinson and Judi Single	
Fourteen parents who had undertaken child-inclusive mediation, and a comparison group of 19 parents who had engaged in mediation without their children being involved, were asked about their views on utilising child-inclusive mediation to assist in the resolution of their disputes concerning parenting arrangements after separation. Most of the comparison group had not been offered the option of child-inclusive mediation either because it was not available in their area, or because the children were too young, or for other reasons, but would have chosen it had it been offered. Parents gave five reasons for wanting child-inclusive mediation. These were: the therapeutic benefit for the children in talking to someone; finding out how the children were feeling; giving the children a voice in the resolution of the dispute; to gain assurance that what the child was saying to that parent is the same as he or she would say to an independent person; and helping the other parent to hear what the child wanted. This research demonstrates the importance of providing clear	0.50
explanations to parents about the purpose of child-inclusive mediation.	253

(2012) 23 ADRJ 225 225

Legal	fairness	in	ADR	processes	_	implications	for	research	and
teaching	g – John Ze	leznik	ow and	Ēmilia Bellud	cci				

One of the major common mixed by morals using mastiction and account the
One of the major concerns raised by people using negotiation processes is about the
fairness or justice of the process. Individuals undertake negotiation to derive better
outcomes than would otherwise occur, which requires them to engage in interest-based
negotiation. But interest-based negotiation focuses upon the interests of the disputants
rather than any objective legal measures of "fairness" - that is, legal justness, not the more
commonly accepted negotiation concept of meeting the interests of all parties equally. It is
vital to investigate how to develop measures, or at the very least principles, for the
construction of legally just negotiation support systems. This article discusses processes
that, when applied, will encourage fairness and justice in the development of negotiation
support systems. Such processes include providing enhanced transparency, supporting
bargaining in the shadow of the law, and allowing for limited discovery

265

## **Judicial mediation in Indonesia: Challenges and opportunities** – Fatahillah Abdul Syukur and Dale Bagshaw

274

### The effectiveness of customary arbitration as a peace-making mechanism in Nigeria – Professor A Toriola Oyewo

Customary arbitration is part and parcel of indigenous African societies and its influence is notably recognised in Nigeria. This practice of referring disputes to the family heads or elders for decision is deeply rooted in Nigeria and on many occasions such disputes between one community against another community are usually referred to a delegate court made up of representatives of both communities for settlement. However, it is noted that 80% of all cases in customary arbitration in Nigeria originate from the Ibo community of the eastern part of the country, upon which the appellate courts base their decisions on cases coming from other parts of Nigeria. The system is open to criticism due to the changing pronouncements of the Nigerian appellate courts by imposing the validity tests of customary arbitration of the Ibo community on the Yoruba of the south western Nigeria. This article will examine just how customary is customary arbitration in Nigeria, along with other areas of uncertainty in its practice.

285

#### 

#### **VOLUME 23 – 2012**

Table of Authors	297
Index	301

226 (2012) 23 ADRJ 225