## AUSTRALIAN JOURNAL OF COMPETITION AND CONSUMER LAW

Volume 21, Number 3

September 2013

-		
	EDITORIAL	151
	ARTICLES	
	Neither adopt nor endorse: Liability for misleading and deceptive conduct for publication of statements by intermediaries or conduits $ Radhika\ Withana$	
	This article examines the High Court decision Google Inc v Australian Competition and Consumer Commission (ACCC), which dealt with liability under s 52 in the context of the publication of statements by one party that were authored by another party. In Google's case, advertisers who advertised on its website came up with the wording in the advertisements and were responsible for their contents. Google provided the software program by which the advertisements were displayed and developed the algorithm that selected which advertisements would be ranked highest when triggered by a user's keyword search of particular terms. The High Court did not find Google liable for contravention of s 52(1), and this article explores the principal basis for that decision. It examines the line of authority on which a majority of the High Court relied in dismissing the ACCC's appeal, and concludes that the decision clarifies the state of the law in respect of publishers who publish information provided by third-party intermediaries.	152
	Evidential issues in brand appropriation litigation – Peter Gillies	
	Brand appropriation litigation in Australia centres on one or more claims of trade mark infringement, breach of s 18 of the Australian Consumer Law (formerly s 52 of the Trade Practices Act 1974 (Cth)), and commission of the tort of passing off. At the core of these actions is an allegation that the consumer was relevantly misled into buying the pirate brand rather than the legally protected one. Expert evidence, such as consumer survey evidence and that from marketing psychologists, is often (but not universally) tendered in cases of this type. The adducing of evidence in this category tends to prolong litigation and increase its cost. It is therefore appropriate to examine its utility. Cases to be commented upon include those centring upon the fictional Duff beer "brand", the Cadbury brand (where Cadbury sought a monopoly on the shade of purple associated with its branding), and the Red Bull energy drink brand.	165
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
	The purpose of this article is to investigate the application and likely interpretation of Div 1A in Pt IV of the Competition and Consumer Act 2010 (Cth) which addresses the difficulty in establishing collusion in cases concerning price-signalling (as opposed to an	

(2013) 21 AJCCL 149

agreement to fix prices) within the Australian legal context. In comparing the policy behind the prohibitions in the both the United States and the United Kingdom and their application in recent cases, a framework is created within which the effectiveness of the

Act in dealing with anti-competitive information disclosures will be assessed. It is demonstrated that Div 1A in its current form is likely to capture benign or pro-competitive disclosures due to the narrow and prescriptive criteria used to establish liability. The application of Div 1A is limited to industries specified in the regulations subject to further review and consideration by the federal government. It is concluded that, with significant amendments to create greater consistency with international practices and economic literature on the likelihood of harm, Div 1A should be expanded to other industries			
AUTHORISATIONS AND NOTIFICATIONS			
International networks: Qantas and Emirates co-ordination agreement – Nicola Lord			
CASE NOTE			
A bid-rigging arrangement, an exclusion from a competitive sale process and a former Premier – Jennifer Hambleton and Diana Biscoe	211		
CONSUMER CONCERNS			
The telco "fine print" project – Jeannie Marie Paterson and Jonathan Gadir	219		
REPORT FROM ASIA			
Merger review change in China – Tom Bridges	223		
REPORT FROM NEW ZEALAND			
Progress with New Zealand's Consumer Law Reform – Matthew Berkahn and Lindsay Trotman			
ODDS AND ENDS	237		

150 (2013) 21 AJCCL 149