Australian Law Journal

GENERAL EDITOR Acting Justice Peter W Young AO

THOMSON REUTERS EDITOR Cheryle King

ASSISTANT GENERAL EDITORS

Angelina Gomez Lawyer, Perth

Sienna Merope Lawyer, Sydney

The mode of citation of this volume is (2014) 88 ALJ [page]

The Australian Law Journal is a refereed journal.

Australian Law Journal Reports

PRODUCTION EDITOR

Carolyn May

CASE REPORTERS

John Carroll Sarah-Jane Greenaway

The mode of citation of this volume is: **88 ALJR [page]**

(2014) 88 ALJ 521

THE AUSTRALIAN LAW JOURNAL

Volume 88, Number 8

August 2014

Class actions	527
Police doing too good a job	527
Other new statistics	528
Role of an Attorney-General	528
Anti-terrorism laws and liberty of the subject	529
Evidence of conversations	529
Taxpayers	529
Appointment of Assistant General Editor	530
Apology	530
CONVEYANCING AND PROPERTY – Editor: Peter Butt	
Moot point: Garnishee orders served on purchasers by Commissioner of Taxation	531
Fraud, joint tenancies and the torrens system	533
Joint tenancies and stolen money	535
AROUND THE NATION: NORTHERN TERRITORY – Editor: The Honourable Dean Mildren AM RFD QC	
Marriage law in Madayin	536
CORPORATIONS AND SECURITIES – Editor: Robert Baxt AO	
CORPORATIONS AND SECURITIES – Editor: Robert Baxt AO The "clash" between Rules of Corporate Governance and the law	540
	540
The "clash" between Rules of Corporate Governance and the law	540 543
The "clash" between Rules of Corporate Governance and the law INTERNATIONAL FOCUS – Editor: Ryszard Piotrowicz	
The "clash" between Rules of Corporate Governance and the law	543
The "clash" between Rules of Corporate Governance and the law	543
The "clash" between Rules of Corporate Governance and the law	
The "clash" between Rules of Corporate Governance and the law	543 546
The "clash" between Rules of Corporate Governance and the law	543 546 549
The "clash" between Rules of Corporate Governance and the law	543 546 549
The "clash" between Rules of Corporate Governance and the law	543 546 549 549

Solicitor's negligence: Obligation on solicitors to provide business people with a simple	
explanation of documents they draft	551
Solicitors' immunity from suit: Alleged negligence at mediation	552
Crime: Significance of mistake in indictment referring to wrong section	553

ARTICLES

THE INDIVIDUAL JUDGE

Hon Justice Susan Kiefel AC

This article explores the relationship between judicial independence, individualism and judgment writing. While it is the duty of a judge who disagrees with his or her colleagues to express that disagreement, the issue is more complicated where a judge does agree, but nevertheless deems it necessary to write separately. The current practice of the High Court of Australia encourages the production of joint judgments, without judges having to publish a separate concurrence. Although not suggesting that judges in appeal courts should never write separately from their colleagues, the article considers the reasons for favouring the production of joint judgments, rather than multiple separate judgments.

554

"DELIVERY", "ESCROW", RECITALS AND ESTOPPEL, AND ATTESTATION: CURRENT QUESTIONS WITH DEEDS

Lee Aitken

THE PREROGATIVE WRITS AND THE ORIGINS OF ENGLISH ADMINISTRATIVE LAW

Clare Langford

This article outlines the development of the prerogative writs, focusing on the writs of prohibition, certiorari, mandamus and habeas corpus, and their contribution to the shape of Anglo-Australian administrative law. These writs were the remedies which gave meaning to the maxim, expressed as early as the 13th century, that the King was under the law. In the 17th century, they permitted lawyers to enforce a particular conception of the English Constitution which has influenced the structure of Australian public law to a great degree. At the same time, these common law remedies offered inadequate protection against governmental excesses in the absence of institutional reform; most importantly, the formalisation of judicial independence. 567

OBITUARY

The Honourable Bruce Harvey McPherson CBE		589	9
---	--	-----	---

The Australian Law Journal Reports HIGH COURT REPORTS – Staff of Thomson Reuters

DECISIONS RECEIVED IN JUNE 2014

FTZK v Minister for Immigration and Border Protection (Administrative Law;	
Citizenship and Migration) ([2014] HCA 26)	754
Plaintiff M150 of 2013 v Minister for Immigration and Border Protection (Citizenship	
and Migration) ([2014] HCA 25)	735
Plaintiff S297/2013 v Minister for Immigration and Border Protection (Citizenship and	
Migration; Constitutional Law) ([2014] HCA 24)	722