JOURNAL OF LAW AND MEDICINE

Volume 22. Number 3

March 2015

EDITORIAL - Ian Freckelton QC

The privilege against self-incrimination in coroners' inquests – Ian Freckelton QC

The privilege against self-incrimination has a venerable history in the conduct of coroners' inquests. However, recent statutory reforms to the privilege in coroners' courts, which have had disuniform outcomes throughout Australia, have complicated the circumstances in which the privilege is extended to those claiming its protection. This editorial reviews the evolving law on the privilege generally and rulings that have been made in high-profile coronial inquests, as well as the modest volume of appellate litigation on this important issue. It identifies that the emerging law on the area prioritises amongst relevant factors for the coroner's discretion to exercise coercive powers over witnesses' objections to give evidence the fact that they are charged with serious criminal offences, and that the need for and utility of the evidence are also functioning as important considerations.

LEGAL ISSUES - Belinda Bennett

Updating Australia's pandemic preparedness: The revised Australian Health Management Plan for Pandemic Influenza (AHMPPI) – Belinda Bennett

In 2014, Australia updated its health management plan for pandemic influenza. This updated plan builds upon the lessons from the 2009 influenza pandemic and revised guidance from the World Health Organization. The 2009 pandemic highlighted the need for flexibility in responding to pandemics so that responses can be tailored according to the severity of a pandemic. Recognition of the need for flexibility is a key feature of both the revised WHO guidance and the revised Australian plan. This column provides an overview of the updated WHO guidance and of the revised Australian Health Management Plan for Pandemic Influenza.....

MEDICAL ISSUES - Mike O'Connor

Cruise control: Prevention and management of sexual violence at sea – Mike O'Connor

The drug-related death of Dianne Brimble on the P&O cruise liner Pacific Sky in 2002 triggered a wide-ranging review of the safety on board cruise ships operating in the Australian market. This column assesses the frequency of recent sexual assaults on cruise ships and examines the findings and recommendations of the Brimble inquest, focusing on the Commonwealth government's response to those recommendations. The problem of jurisdiction on flag of convenience registered ships is discussed, with emphasis on a possible co-operative arrangement between Australian police and foreign flag states. It seems likely that the United States and Canadian models of cruise ship regulation to

BIOETHICAL ISSUES - Malcolm Parker

Clayton's compromises and the assisted dying debate – Malcolm Parker

Richard Huxtable has recently argued that while assisted dying has been both repeatedly
condemned and commended, a compromise resolution is possible. Following critique of
other purported solutions, he argues for a new legal offence of "compassionate killing" as
a plausible compromise between supporters and opponents of legalised assisted dying.
because it offers something of significance to both sides. However, it turns out that
"compassionate killing" would leave both sides with insufficient net benefit for the
proposal to qualify as a compromise between them. By analogy with another apparently
intractable bioethical debate, concerning destructive embryo research, this column rejects
Huxtable's solution as another "Clayton's compromise". True compromise is not possible
in bioethical debates involving divisions over deeply held values and world views.
Resolving such debates inevitably involves the substitution of one dominant world view
with another.

526

MEDICAL LAW REPORTER - Thomas Faunce

Professional misconduct: The case of the Medical Board of Australia v Tausif (Occupational Discipline) – Caroline Colton

In 2014, the Australian Capital Territory Civil and Administrative Appeals Tribunal (ACAT) made a finding of professional misconduct against a Canberra general practitioner working in two bulk-billing medical practices established by a corporate medical practice service company, Primary Health Care Limited (Medical Board of Australia v Tausif (Occupational Discipline) [2015] ACAT 4). This column analyses that case, particularly in relation to the ACAT finding that the practitioner's professional misconduct was substantially contributed to by an unsafe system of care, specifically, the failure of Primary Health Care to provide supervision and mentoring for clinicians working at its medical centres. The case highlights the professional pressures carried by general practitioners who practise medicine within the framework of corporate bulk-billing business models. The column also examines the related issue of general practitioner co-payments in Australia and their impact on business models built around doctors purportedly characterised as independent contractors, bulk-billing large numbers of patients each day for short consultations.

534

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

545

ARTICLES

Health care justice for temporary migrant workers on 457 visas in Australia: A case study of internationally qualified nurses – Paula O'Brien and Melissa Phillips

550

A delayed inheritance: The Medical Board of Victoria's 75-year wait to find doctors guilty of "infamous conduct in a professional respect" – Gabrielle Wolf

568

Correcting the record: Australian prosecutions for manslaughter in the medical context – David J Carter

The failure to prosecute Dr Jayant Patel successfully for any of the deaths associated with his time as Director of Surgery at Bundaberg Base Hospital was received in some quarters as an abject failure of the criminal law to deal adequately with significant wrongdoing. The case itself, the multiple public inquiries and the significant expense to pursue, extradite and prosecute Patel, resulting finally in a finding of guilt on a number of minor fraud charges, seems to compound this sense of failure. This article argues otherwise. When placed within the far longer and forgotten history of the prosecution of manslaughter by criminal negligence in the Australian jurisdiction, this story of prosecutorial failure becomes instead wholly consistent with the case law over time. No adequate account of the history of prosecution in the Australian jurisdiction exists for this area of law. To present Patel in context, the article draws upon archival research to provide a significantly extended account of the history of prosecution for manslaughter in the health care context. The extension of the case law is significant, from four known prosecutions, case histories of another 33 inadequately acknowledged prosecutions are presented.

588

Adapting to concurrent expert evidence in medical litigation – Tina Cockburn and Bill Madden

In medical negligence litigation expert evidence has long played a dominant role. The trend towards the use of concurrent expert evidence is now well underway. However, for the lawyers and the doctors involved, the pathway is not yet familiar. Disputes have frequently arisen in the context of pre-hearing expert conclaves, given the adversarial nature of litigation and perhaps fuelled by fears of a less transparent process at this increasingly important stage. This article explains the concurrent expert evidence framework and examines areas of common dispute both in the conclaves and at trial, with a view to providing assistance to legal practitioners working in this area and the medical practitioners called upon to provide expert evidence in such litigation.

610

(2015) 22 JLM 485 487

"Loss of situation awareness" by medical staff: Reflecting on the moral and legal status of a psychological concept – Hugh Breakey, Roel D van Winsen and Sidney W A Dekker

This article examines the emergence of "accurate situation awareness (SA)" as a legal and moral standard for judging professional negligence in medicine. It argues that SA constitutes a status, an outcome resulting from the confluence of a wide array of factors, some originating inside and others outside the agent. SA does not connote an action, a practice, a role, a task, a virtue, or a disposition – the familiar objects of moral and legal appraisal. The argument contends that invoking SA becomes problematic when its use broadens to include professional or legally appraisable norms for behaviour, which expect a certain state of awareness from practitioners.

632

Coroners' guidelines for health practitioners: Help or hindrance? – Sarah Middleton

638

Unfair employment discrimination of previously depressed individuals – Kenneth Wei-Qiang Choo and Wei-Liang Lee

Individuals who have recovered from or are in a remissive state of depression are often required to declare their psychiatric history during applications for employment. This practice exposes such individuals to discrimination even though they are no longer afflicted by the condition, leading to the question whether the practice is fair and justified. Such discrimination can also have adverse health implications as individuals with active depression might not want to seek help early for fear of stigmatisation. In this article, constructive dialogue among relevant stakeholders is proposed to encourage appropriate and measured responses to this problem. A more durable solution in Singapore may be to introduce legislation to prevent unfair discrimination.

660

The decision-making of the Mental Health Review Tribunal in New Zealand – Katey Thom, Stella Black and Graham Panther

This article reports the findings of a qualitative research project that explored the decision-making of the Mental Health Review Tribunal in New Zealand, providing "thick descriptions" of the hearing process by closely focusing not only on the content of final written decisions, but also how decisions are made and delivered within the context they are formed. Drawing on interviews with tribunal members (n=14), observation of hearings (n=11), and review of written decisions (n=60), the article illustrates how the MHRT attempts to practise in a way that enhances rather than damages ongoing relationships between applicants and clinicians. The factors that constrain its ability to conduct a hearing perceived as fair and participatory by the applicants is considered, and synergies with the international literature are noted in relation to the heavy use of medico-legal language, dominance of public safety concerns, and the covert interventionist practices of the MHRT. The article concludes by highlighting the value of qualitative observations of

this decision-making body. While written decisions provide a justification for the outcome decided by the MHRT, it leaves out nuances gleaned from in-depth clinical reporting, inquisitorial investigation and unwritten observations during hearings.	667
Re-visiting Re X: Hysterectomy, removal of reproductive capacity and the severely intellectually disabled child in New Zealand – $Jeanne\ Snelling$	
The law governing parental consent to any surgery performed on an intellectually disabled minor that results, directly or indirectly, in the loss of reproductive capacity was first considered in New Zealand in the High Court case of $Re\ X$ in 1991. The decision was remarkable in several respects, not least because it reflected a genuine attempt to obtain a representation of interests beyond those of the particular child and parents involved. However, legal and socio-political developments in the intervening years, both locally and internationally, suggest that a review of the decision is timely. This article questions whether, in light of these events, $Re\ X$ should be revisited and concludes by suggesting a possible legal response.	679
An alternative to Zoe's Law – James Dalmau	
Under the criminal law of New South Wales, the destruction of a foetus (other than in the course of a medical procedure) constitutes grievous bodily harm to the pregnant woman. Charges can be laid for offences against the woman, but not against the foetus. Many are dissatisfied with this. The <i>Crimes Amendment (Zoe's Law) Bill 2013 (No 2)</i> (NSW) aimed to change this by providing that a foetus is taken to be a living person for the purposes of certain offences. The Bill was strongly opposed on the basis that according personhood to a foetus in this way will have undesirable consequences that could erode the reproductive rights of women. The public debate over how the criminal law addresses the destruction of a foetus has centred on Zoe's Law. This article proposes an alternative amendment that aims to accommodate the concerns of the Bill's supporters and its detractors	698
BOOK REVIEW Human Dignity in Bioethics and Law by Charles Foster	711

(2015) 22 JLM 485 489