
Australian Law Journal

GENERAL EDITOR
Acting Justice Peter W Young AO

THOMSON REUTERS EDITOR
Cheryle King

ASSISTANT GENERAL EDITORS

Ruth Higgins <i>Barrister, Sydney</i>	Angelina Gomez <i>Lawyer, Perth</i>	Clare Langford <i>Solicitor, Sydney</i>
--	--	--

The mode of citation of this volume is

(2015) 89 ALJ [page]

The Australian Law Journal is a refereed journal.

Australian Law Journal Reports

PRODUCTION EDITOR
Carolyn May

CASE REPORTERS

John Carroll
Colleen Tognetti

The mode of citation of this volume is:

89 ALJR [page]

THE AUSTRALIAN LAW JOURNAL

Volume 89, Number 11

November 2015

CURRENT ISSUES – Editor: Ruth C A Higgins

Plus ça change	747
Pre-empting arbitration	748
Enlightened incarceration	749
Competition and class actions	749
Continuity and change	750

CONVEYANCING AND PROPERTY – Editor: Peter Butt

Destruction of unregistered leases: demise of the lighting by design line of cases?	751
Compulsory easements: a new black letter syndrome?	753
Writing requirements and email contracts	754

AROUND THE NATION: TASMANIA – Editor: Justice Stephen Estcourt

Peter George Underwood AC	757
---------------------------------	-----

PERSONALIA – Editor: Clare Langford

Commonwealth

Justice Robert McClelland	760
Justice Brigitte Markovic	760

New South Wales

Ms Lea Armstrong	761
District Court: Appointment of specialist child sexual assault judges	761

AROUND THE NATION: NORTHERN TERRITORY – Editor: Hon Dean Mildren AM RFD QC

25 years on: Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions in the Northern Territory	762
--	-----

CORPORATIONS AND SECURITIES – Editor: Robert Baxt AO

Directors obtain “more breathing space” in arranging indemnities	766
--	-----

ENVIRONMENTAL LAW – Editor: Justice Rachel Pepper

New shark culling policies in Western Australia	769
Retroactive legislation in Western Australia to validate Environmental Protection Authority approvals	769
Extension of New South Wales Government’s coal seam gas licence buy-back	769
Penalty increases for environmental offences in New South Wales	770
Environmental contamination held a relevant factor in valuation in New South Wales	770
Public inquiry into Victorian Environmental Protection Agency	770
Victorian Government extends coal seam gas moratorium	770
New penalties for environmental offences in Queensland	770
Tasmanian illegal fishing sting collapses	771
Tasmanian Government extends moratorium on hydraulic fracturing	771
South Australian windfarm court win	771
South Australian sentenced for failing to revegetate land	771
South Australian court imposes fine for contaminated storage failure	771
Northern Territory Supreme Court sets aside Minister’s decision on water rights	772

RECENT CASES – Editor: Ruth C A Higgins

Intellectual property: Patentable subject matter – patent claimed isolated nucleic acid coding for BRCA1 protein – manner of manufacture	773
Corporations: Compensation claim for loss or damage from misstatement or omission in disclosure document – Misleading or deceptive conduct – Market-based causation ..	774
Prisoners: Solitary confinement – Whether decisions to keep the appellants in solitary confinement for substantial periods were lawfully made	775

ARTICLES**IS ACCESS TO JUSTICE A RIGHT OR A SERVICE?****Steven Rares**

The recent report by the Productivity Commission on Access to Justice Arrangements has made numerous recommendations, including that court fees should be charged on a differentiated basis having regard to the capacity of the parties to pay up to full cost recovery of providing the court to hear the case. At the same time governments have been increasing the levels of court fees particularly in civil and commercial matters. The author argues that equating the provision of the judicial system as a service that can and should be sold is antithetic to the fundamental principle, traceable to Magna Carta, that justice will not be sold or denied and to the rule of law. He asks whether a constitutional problem would arise if such significant fees were charged, as occurred in Canada last year. He also discusses other more appropriate suggestions in the report. 777

SOME JUDICIAL FALLACIES CONCERNING ENTIRE AGREEMENT CLAUSES**I M Jackman**

The common inclusion in commercial contracts of an “entire agreement” clause presents a number of challenges to the current judicial trend for broad contextual approaches to the

law of contract, particularly in the significance now attached to pre-contractual negotiations. In principle, there should not be any tension between the parties' clearly expressed intentions and the judicial interpretation of their contract. That there is such a tension, however, is starkly illustrated in the reasoning of Australian intermediate appellate courts as to the extent to which entire agreement clauses: (a) do more than merely re-state the parol evidence rule; (b) negate the existence of collateral contracts; (c) preclude estoppels arising from pre-contractual negotiations; and (d) affect questions of construction generally. On all four of these issues, Australian appellate courts have tended to undermine the clarity and certainty that the parties plainly intend to achieve in their bargain by their express adoption of an entire agreement clause. 791

THE EX ANTE APPROACH TO ASSESSING MATERIALITY: TO WHAT EXTENT
ARE SUBSEQUENT PRICE MOVEMENTS RELEVANT?

Andrew Eastwood

The assessment of materiality for the purposes of the continuous disclosure and insider trading provisions in the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth) is required to be undertaken on an ex ante, before-the-event, basis. However, current authority suggests that evidence of the market's reaction to subsequent disclosures constitutes a relevant "cross-check" as to the ex ante judgment formed. This article contends that that position should be reconsidered, and questions whether evidence of subsequent trading activity and price movements should be able to be used to establish materiality at the liability stage. 803

BOOK REVIEW – Editor:Angelina Gomez

Principles of Taxation Law 2015 by Kerrie Sadiq 814

Australian Law Journal Reports

HIGH COURT REPORTS – Staff of Thomson Reuters

DECISIONS RECEIVED IN OCTOBER 2015

Alcan Gove Pty Ltd v Zabic (*Torts; Workers' Compensation*) ([2015] HCA 33) 845
McCloy v New South Wales (*Constitutional Law*) ([2015] HCA 34) 857