BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION LAW JOURNAL

Volume 31, Number 5

November 2015

ARTICLES

The period of limitation in Victorian building actions - David Levin

The operative limitation period for Victorian building actions has been a vexed issue for many years. The interaction between the Limitation of Actions Act 1958 (Vic) and the Building Act 1993 (Vic) has been unclear. The decision of the Court of Appeal in Brirek may not have resolved the issue.

Validity of premature adjudication applications: Challenges in Singapore's approach – Sze Hui Jasmine Low

Contract is king and time bars that bite: CMA Assets Pty Ltd v John Holland Pty Ltd [No 6] [2015] WASC 217 – David Ulbrick

The Western Australian Supreme Court upheld a strict time bar even in circumstances where the contractor would otherwise have been entitled to an extension of time. The case serves as a reminder that clearly drafted time bars will bite if parties do not put their notices in on time. The case also stands for the proposition that a clearly drafted extension of time regime may exclude the operation of the prevention principle, meaning that the contractor will take the risk of accelerating in circumstances where no extension of time is granted.

REPORTS

Laing O'Rourke Australia Construction Pty Ltd v Samsung C & T Corporation	291
Lamio Masonry Services Pty Ltd v TP Projects Pty Ltd	330
Patrick Stevedores Operations No 2 Pty Ltd v McConnell Dowell Constructors	
(Aust) Pty Ltd	337

268