COMPANY AND SECURITIES LAW JOURNAL

Volume 34. Number 5

August 2016

EDITORIAL 3	32	9
-------------	----	---

ARTICLES

Deterring corporate wrongdoing: Penalties, financial services misconduct and the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth) – Helen Bird and George Gilligan

332

The adequacy of ASIC's "tool kit" to meet its obligations under corporations and financial services legislation – Vicky Comino

In the wake of a string of high profile financial scandals and the Australian Securities and Investments Commission's (ASIC) mixed track record in some highly publicised cases, it is not surprising that ASIC has been subjected to increasing public scrutiny. Yet, because of the government's commitment to balance the Budget, this has meant that public authorities like ASIC have been put under intense funding pressure. The purpose of this article is to consider whether the current enforcement options that ASIC has in its "tool kit" are adequate for it to be an effective corporate and financial services regulator. In particular, it will examine the use of civil settlements and enforceable undertakings, as well as what are known in the literature as "deferred prosecution agreements". It will be argued that these "new" tools allow ASIC to not only enhance its enforcement capability, but also achieve "justice". This is especially so if the overarching philosophy guiding their use is grounded in the restorative/preventive (rather than retributive) justice paradigm. In this way, settlements, enforceable undertakings and deferred prosecution agreements can harness the potential to change the organisational/corporate cultures that produced the wrongdoing in the first place. However, to close gaps in ASIC's armoury, it will also be argued that ASIC should be equipped with additional remedies and powers available overseas. These include "disgorgement" penalties and the power to ban certain retail products.

360

(2016) 34 C&SLJ 327 327

The Australian Sports Commission's "Governance Reform in Sport" discussion paper and voting rules in corporate constitutions – Robert D Macdonald and Ian Ramsay

DIRECTORS' DUTIES – Rosemary Teele Langford

Should	the	statutory	business	judgment	rule	apply	to	directors'	compliance	
decision	s? – í	Tim Connor	·							403

328 (2016) 34 C&SLJ 327