FAMILY LAW REVIEW

Volume 6, Number 3

December 2016

ARTICLES

Understanding discretionary trust structures in family law – Grant T Riethmuller

Discretionary trust structures are complex arrangements that present many difficulties when determining appropriate orders for division of spousal property under s 79 or s 90SM of the *Family Law Act 1975* (Cth). In order to understand how assets held on discretionary trusts are dealt with in family law property proceedings one must return to the fundamentals of trusts and property law, before turning to the more recent family law decisions. In this article, the law and principles are reviewed. Basic concepts of trusts and property law are recapped in order to provide a foundation to understand the more recent cases where assets held on trust are dealt with as "property" pursuant to the provisions of the *Family Law Act*.

165

Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction: The consideration of habitual residence in Australian courts – Patricia Easteal AM, Joshua Favaloro and Fanny Thornton

10/

What happened in the Baby Gammy surrogacy case? – Michael Nicholls QC

This article summarises the legal problems that arose in the well-known Baby Gammy Thai surrogacy case in which the intended parents were falsely accused of abandoning one of the twins born as a result of the surrogacy arrangement.

209

CHILDREN AND PARENTING

State child welfare departments and federal family law matters – Felicity Bell

For many years there have been various attempts to overcome the problems of what Fehlberg and Kelly termed "jurisdictional overlap" between State child protection and federal family law. This article describes these issues before turning to examine some recent cases where judges hearing family law matters have expressed dissatisfaction with the conduct of State child welfare departments.

218

PROPERTY AND FINANCIAL ARRANGEMENTS

The treatment of uncertain liabilities in applications under s 79 of the Family Law Act – Anna Parker

In the 1995 decision of *In Marriage of Biltoft*, the Full Court of the Family Court of Australia considered the treatment of uncertain liabilities in applications under s 79 of the *Family Law Act 1975* (Cth). The Full Court held that courts exercising jurisdiction under the Act may properly determine not to take into account or to discount the value of unsecured liabilities in certain circumstances, including where such liabilities are vague or uncertain, are unlikely to be enforced, or were unreasonably incurred. This article considers the approach taken by the Full Court in cases involving uncertain liabilities in recent years, in order to identify general observations that can be made about the likely treatment of such liabilities.

226

FAMILY DISPUTE RESOLUTION

Pro bono mediation and the Federal Circuit Court of Australia in Brisbane: Lessons learned – $Donna\ Cooper$

230

RECENT CASES – Judge Geoffrey Monahan (Ed) – Dean Foley – Trevor McKenna – Olivia Rundle

Salah v Salah (Parenting – Alleged family violence – Consent orders)	238
Oswald v Karrington (Parenting – Coercive relocation order)	243
Lindsey v Christie (Child support – Appeals from SSAT)	248

VOLUME 6 – 2016

Table of Cases	253
Index	265