# COMPANY AND SECURITIES LAW JOURNAL

Volume 35, Number 8

# November 2017

EDITORIAL – Editor: Bob Baxt	483
------------------------------	-----

## ARTICLES

### Catching Pre-insolvency Advisors: The Hidden Culprits of Illegal Phoenix Activity -Helen Anderson and Jasper Hedges

The Australian Securities and Investments Commission and Australian Taxation Office are becoming increasingly concerned about the role that pre-insolvency advisors play in promoting illegal phoenix activity. Illegal phoenix activity occurs where the directors of a company liquidate or abandon the company with the intention of avoiding its obligations and then continue the same or a similar business via a new or related company. Preinsolvency advisors who counsel directors to engage in illegal phoenix activity are subject to liability under a range of corporate, labour and taxation laws, as well as licensing and professional conduct rules. These advisors may be either qualified professionals, such as lawyers and accountants, or unqualified "turnaround specialists". This article explains how the existing laws and rules apply to advisors and also suggests a number of legislative and administrative reforms aimed at curtailing their participation in illegal phoenix activity, 

#### **Personal Financial Product Advice under the Corporations Act** – RP Austin and Michael Vrisakis

Recently, the Australian Securities and Investments Commission has placed considerable emphasis on the important distinction between "general advice" and "personal advice" under Ch 7 of the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth). The distinction, however, has not received any judicial consideration. Accordingly, this article approaches the issue as a matter of first principles. It suggests that the best interests obligations under Pt 7.7A provide valuable guidance in interpreting and understanding the concept of "personal advice". In particular, the provider of personal advice is the individual who deals directly with the client. The article also considers the meaning of several statutory concepts critical to the definition of "personal advice" under s 766B(3). Further, the article offers practical guidance as to the operation of the two limbs of s 766B(3), including the operation of a general advice 

Commercial Litigation under the Personal Property Securities Act 2009 (Cth) -Part II – Matthew Broderick and Dr David Morrison

Part I of this article, published in (2015) 33 C&SLJ 372, analysed the impact of the Personal Property Securities Act 2009 (Cth) on the rights of execution creditors over personal property, solicitors' liens and the settlement of litigation and claims. Part II, the final instalment, explores the effects of the Personal Property Securities Act 2009 (Cth) on litigation by secured parties, and other provisions in the act likely to produce litigation 

#### "Persons Who Commonly Invest": Who Are They? – Andrew Eastwood

#### **Financial Advisers** – New Remuneration Constraints and Competency Requirements Addressing Perverse Incentives and Poor Advice – *Julie-Anne Tarr*

Provision of financial advice within Australia's financial services market has been,	
and remains, the subject of considerable regulatory scrutiny and legislative activity.	
The Australian Securities and Investments Commission (ASIC) identified high levels	
of non-compliance in the quality of advice given, along with misaligned incentives	
in remuneration arrangements, as exacerbating conflict of interest problems. The	
Trowbridge Final Report, Murray Inquiry and a Parliamentary Joint Committee similarly	
recommended the need for reforms around advisor/licensee remuneration arrangements,	
client engagement, and education, training and professional requirements. Collectively,	
these reports and inquiries have informed the package of life insurance remuneration	
and financial adviser competency reforms introduced by the Corporations Amendment	
(Life Insurance Remuneration Arrangements) Act 2017 (Cth) and the Corporations	
Amendment (Professional Standards of Financial Advisers) Act 2017 (Cth). This article	
considers these new Acts, the market context and rationale driving reforms behind them,	
and the potential for further reform measures on the horizon.	561

CORPORATE FINANCE – *Editor: Matthew Broderick* 

Set-Off and the PPSA: A Note on Hamersley Iron Pty Ltd V Forge Group Power Pty Ltd (In Liq) – Anthony Duggan	572
DIRECTORS' DUTIES – Editor: Dr Rosemary Teele Langford	
<b>Breaches of Duty by Corporate Officers and Directors: Accessory Liability, Account of</b> <b>Profits and Causation</b> – <i>Pauline Ridge</i>	578
CORPORATE INSOLVENCY – Editor: Helen Anderson	
<b>To Prioritise or not to Prioritise: The Question of Prepayment Consumer Creditors</b> – <i>Professor Christopher Symes and Dr Beth Nosworthy</i>	583

#### **VOLUME 35 – 2017**

Table of Authors	591
Table of Cases	595
Index	611