THE AUSTRALIAN LAW JOURNAL

Volume 92, Number 8

August 2018

CURRENT ISSUES – Guest Editor: Ruth C A Higgins SC	
Let Them Eat Cake	575
CONVEYANCING AND PROPERTY – Editors: Robert Angyal SC and Brendan Edgeworth	
E-Conveyancing: Legal Underpinning and Some Practical Aspects	582
TECHNOLOGY AND THE LAW – Editors: Lyria Bennett Moses and Anna Collyer	
An Introduction	589
ADMIRALTY AND MARITIME – Editor: Dr Damien J Cremean	
AMSA's Power to Detain Vessels: AMSA's Liability	592
AROUND THE NATION: TASMANIA – Editor: Justice Stephen Estcourt AM	
Regulation of All-Terrain Vehicles	596
PERSONALIA – Editor: Emily Vale	
Commonwealth	
Justice Thomas Thawley	598
Victoria	
Justice John Champion	598
Justice Matthew Connock	598
Western Australia	
Justice Anthony Derrick	599
Retirement of the Honourable Chief Justice Wayne Martin AC QC	599

570 (2018) 92 ALJ 570

ARTICLES

TAXATION OF SETTLEMENTS, JUDGMENTS AND AWARDS

Tony Slater QC and Elizabeth Bishop

The resolution of litigation, whether by way of settlement or by judgment or award, invariably has taxation implications for the parties to the dispute, and often for their associates. Unfortunately, on many occasions, liability to tax is not considered until the completion of litigation. This article discusses generally some of the potential taxation consequences which legal practitioners should consider when assisting their clients and highlights the need for taxation advice to be sought, specific to the particular factual

TIMOR-LESTE v AUSTRALIA: THE IMPACT OF INTERNATIONAL LAW ON THE CURRENT AND THE FUTURE ARRANGEMENTS IN THE TIMOR SEA

Maha Chaar

Less than a decade after Australia and East Timor executed the Certain Maritime Arrangements in the Timor Sea (CMATS), a dispute has arisen over the maritime boundary and the rights to petroleum resources in the Timor Sea. Following an overview of the development of the law of the sea, this article will analyse the arbitration proceedings commenced by Timor-Leste and the implications of Timor-Leste obtaining an order to set aside CMATS on the grounds that the treaty breaches Australia's obligations of good faith. Regardless of its reasons for commencing the arbitration proceedings, Timor-Leste is in a much stronger position under CMATS than previous treaties with Australia. Timor-Leste stands to benefit from billions of dollars in revenue from its 50% share of the Greater Sunrise project, which in turn means that the advantages under the CMATS arrangements outweigh any perceived detriment from a lack of a definitive maritime boundary between the two states. 619

CORPORATE LAW PRACTICE: LEGAL ADVICE AND ETHICS

Barbara Mescher

Corporate law and its practice have unique features that impact upon the lawyer to client relationship and more generally, the legal profession. Further, public-listed company clients' wealth and power enable them to exert influence upon their legal advisers. Transactional corporate lawyers may find their legal advice to these clients raises professional issues due to the nature of corporate law. In addition, clients often have conflicts of interest that could affect their instructions. These matters are examined as they may create ethical issues for corporate lawyers causing them to look to legal ethics for guidance. Yet perversely, lawyers' professional legal ethics cannot always resolve ethical matters. These dilemmas may be a regular occurrence for transactional corporate lawyers. How are they, as professionals, meant to address them? 636

BOOK REVIEW - Editor: Angelina Gomez

A Charter of Rights for Australia (4th ed), by George Williams and Daniel Reynolds 651

(2018) 92 ALJ 570 571

Australian Law Journal Reports

HIGH COURT REPORTS - Staff of Thomson Reuters

DECISIONS RECEIVED IN JUNE 2018

Amaca Pty Ltd v Latz (Damages; Social Welfare) ([2018] HCA 22)	579
DL v The Queen (Criminal Law) ([2018] HCA 26)	636
Lane v The Queen (Criminal Law) ([2018] HCA 28)	689
Latz v Amaca Pty Ltd (Damages; Social Welfare) ([2018] HCA 22)	579
Minogue v Victoria (Criminal Law; Statutes) ([2018] HCA 27)	668
Rozenblit v Vainer (<i>Procedure</i>) ([2018] HCA 23)	600
Trkulja v Google LLC (Defamation; Procedure) ([2018] HCA 25)	619

572 (2018) 92 ALJ 570