JOURNAL OF LAW AND MEDICINE

Volume 26, Number 3

April 2019

EDITORIAL – Ian Freckelton QC

Interstate and Overseas Deaths: Jurisdictional and Decision-Making Challenges for Coroners

This editorial addresses the jurisdictional challenges for decision-making about which coroners should exercise jurisdiction over a dead body, when more than one has the potential to do so, including when a tragedy has occurred involving deceased persons ordinarily residing in diverse jurisdictions. It considers the criteria that are applied and should be applied by coroners to assumption of jurisdiction in relation to overseas deaths and reflects on considerations relevant to the exercise of such decision-making. It reviews significant cases, including appellate case law, in relation to coroners' investigations of overseas deaths and concludes by reflecting upon the need for consistent legislation throughout Australia and New Zealand on exercise of jurisdiction by coroners. It considers

Letter to the Editor	535
----------------------	-----

LEGAL ISSUES – Editor: Joanna Manning

"Hospitals and Clinicians Need Not Apply:" Withdrawing Clinically Assisted Nutrition and Hydration in Undisputed Cases - Joanna Manning

In 2018 the United Kingdom Supreme Court decided in An NHS Trust v Y [2018] 3 WLR 751; [2018] UKSC 46 that the time had come to move on from the "good practice" requirement in Airedale NHS Trust v Bland [1993] AC 789 for hospitals and doctors to obtain court approval before life-prolonging treatment can be withheld or withdrawn from a patient in a permanent vegetative state (PVS). It held that it is no longer necessary to involve the court in every case before life-sustaining clinically assisted nutrition and hydration (CANH) can be withdrawn. Provided the provisions of the Mental Capacity Act 2005 (England and Wales) and relevant professional guidance are followed, and there is no difference of medical opinion or lack of agreement from interested parties, in particular family members, with the proposed course of action, legal permission is not required. The ruling applies to PVS patients, as well as, more controversially, those in a minimally conscious state (MCS), the newer diagnosis identified post-Bland. This commentary summarises the Supreme Court's decision, and considers some implications for England and Wales, as well as for Australia and New Zealand, where there is no recommended practice of, much less any legal requirement for hospitals to seek court approval, even in

MEDICAL ISSUES – Editor: Mike O'Connor

Eve's Curse: Intolerable Unrelieved Pain in Labour - Necessary Evil or Medical **Negligence?** – *Mike O'Connor*

For most prospective mothers, pain in childbirth is their greatest fear. However, intolerable labour pain should no longer be accepted by the health professions. Without adequate

pain control in labour fetal damage can be significant. Moreover, if relief from pain is a fundamental human right then surely standards of good obstetric care should include a definition of adequate pain relief in labour and obstetricians should pay due attention to alleviating that pain. At present actions in tort focus on poor medical management of injuries or diseases. Pain and suffering are generally a secondary consequence of that negligence although in the tort of negligence pain can constitute damage. However, it is argued that failure to address pain adequately as a primary issue should be considered medical malpractice or at least unsatisfactory professional performance if there is proof of failure to exercise reasonable care. The possibilities for untreated labour pain to be deemed medical negligence could include maternal post-traumatic stress disorder, fetal brain injury resulting from maternal acidosis and utero-placental hypo-perfusion or even negligent infliction of mental harm on the family members witnessing their family relative in intolerable pain. In this article the nature of labour pain and its fetal effects will be discussed. Effective pain control in labour should be good medicine as well as humane

BIOETHICAL ISSUES – Editor: Julian Savulescu

Should an Advance Care Directive Refusing Life-Sustaining Treatment Be Respected after an Attempted Suicide? Development of an Algorithm to Aid Health Care Workers – Steve John Philpot

An advance care directive (ACD) is a written expression of a person's preferences in relation to health care, which can appoint a trusted substitute decision-maker, describe personal values, and make explicit decisions consenting to, or refusing, certain treatments. When a person with a directive refusing life-sustaining treatments attempts suicide, opinions are divided as to the degree to which health care staff are bound by such a directive. In this section, I will provide an example of a patient who presents to hospital after attempting suicide who has a valid ACD refusing life-sustaining treatment. I will then describe the legislation relevant to ACDs in Victoria, Australia and ethical arguments relating to the application of an ACD in this context. I will present a decision-making algorithm for health

MEDICAL LAW REPORTER - Editor: Thomas Faunce

Citizens' Juries, Liquid Democracy and Legislative Reform of Australian Compulsory Insurance Schemes for Injury Compensation after Motor Vehicle Accidents -Felix Blumer, Talia Gedik and Thomas Faunce

In 2017/2018 the Australian Capital Territory held its first citizens' jury to deliberate changes to the Territory's Compulsory Third Party (CTP) insurance scheme, for injury compensation after motor vehicle accidents. Such citizens' juries were designed to aid the transition to next-generation parliamentary processes (such as liquid democracy - citizen direct electronic voting on laws or individual transfer of their vote to respected politicians) by enabling a variety of key stakeholders and interests to be actively represented in the process of statutory development. In effect such a process is a democratic alternative to the current model of corporate lobbyists covertly influencing the legislative process. This column investigates how the citizens' jury chose one from four proposed CTP models. It then critiques how, following the jury's recommendation, the Australian Capital Territory Government introduced the Motor Accidents Injuries Bill 2018 (ACT). Once enacted, this is designed to create a "no-fault" expedited scheme, but on our analysis, at the cost of certain adverse outcomes. These include greatly reducing an injured person's entitlements to fair compensation, a "whole person impairment threshold" that limits entitlements to treatment and care, wage loss and compensation for pain and suffering, removing the right

ARTICLES

HIV and HCV Epidemics: Lessons for Lawyers and Policymakers – *The Hon Michael Kirby AC CMG*

Therapeutic Privilege Is No Defence – Scott Davison

A Comparative View of Australian Education in Law and Medicine – *Marcus Smith and Rachael Heath Jeffery*

Protecting the Continued Development of Collaborative Expert Witness Evidence in Australia: Surely We Should? – *Christopher D Mills*

Australia has recognised the need to cope with changing attitudes towards advocate and expert witness immunity. While some international jurisdictions have chosen to abolish the immunity altogether, Australia has most recently, via the High Court decisions in Attwells v Jackson Lalic Lawyers Pty Ltd [2016] HCA 16 and Kendirjian v Lepore [2017] HCA 13, recognised the need for the immunity to exist, albeit with significantly narrowed scope. Generally, the principles and scope of expert witness immunity tend to follow that of advocate immunity. However, Australia is widely accepted as the most advanced judicial system supporting the use of concurrent expert evidence. This analysis discusses the unique position of Australia after these two High Court decisions that shape expert witness immunity, recognising the ongoing policy of protecting the finality of litigation. However, the legislature must be careful not to maintain this as the foremost reason for the immunity merely because the courts have chosen this pathway previously. Nor should the legislature merely maintain the status quo of expert witness immunity following advocate immunity. *6*21

Criminalising Health Care? The Use of Offences in the Mental Health Act 2015 (ACT) – Sam Pang

Authorising the Release of Data without Consent for Health Research: The Role of Data Custodians and HRECs in Australia – Felicity Flack, Carolyn Adams and Judy Allen

Sugar Consumption Tax: A Good Idea or Not? - Jane Truscott

Introduction of a sugar tax on sugar-sweetened beverages (SSBs) has been proposed by peak health bodies and Australian politicians as a strategy to control behaviour that leads to obesity. The question is: what are the implications of imposing a sugar consumption tax on SSBs with the aim to curb the harmful effects of obesity? Arguments for and against a sugar consumption tax pose an ethical dilemma. While evidence supports the implementation of a sugar consumption tax as effective in curbing SSB consumption within certain populations, ethical considerations support the premise that autonomy trumps justice, beneficence, and non-maleficence. Legal implications include the consideration of Commonwealth and State jurisdiction, discrimination against a person's disability, such as obesity, and

Embryo Donation in New Zealand: Considerations of the Health and Wellbeing of Children – Louise Wilsdon

A New Law of Advance Directives in Italy: A Critical Legal Analysis – Denard Veshi, Enkelejda Koka and Carlo Venditti

BOOK REVIEW

The Hanged Man	and the	Body	Thief,	by	Alexandra	Roginski	_	Reviewed	by	
Ian Freckelton QC										711