# AUSTRALASIAN DISPUTE RESOLUTION JOURNAL

Volume 29, Number 4

### 2019

EDITORIAL	
<b>The Panel of Editorial Consultants</b> – <i>Ruth Charlton</i>	205
CASE NOTES – David Spencer	
New Zealand Edition – Ordering Specific Performance of a Mediated Settlement Agreement; Mediated Settlement Agreement Not Privileged; and Mediation Media Watch NZ Edition	207
Mediation Media Watch – New Zealand Edition	213
ARTICLES	

**International Arbitration in Australia: 2017/2018 in Review** – Albert Monichino QC and Alex Fawke

## **Australia and Singapore – Differences in Applications to Set Aside an Arbitral Award?** – *Craig Edwards*

Both Singapore and Australia (referred to as States under the UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration) have adopted the Model Law. However, there are subtle differences in the local legislation implemented by each State supplementing the Model Law in relation to the grounds for setting aside arbitral awards. The purpose of this article is to review the key cases on applications to set aside arbitral awards and critically analyse whether there are any legal or practical differences of significance between Singapore and Australia on which arbitral awards may be set aside under the Model Law. It is argued that while there are legal differences in terms of the legislative content, there are no practical differences of significance in interpretation by the Courts of the States. ... 234

### **Comparative Study of Asian Arbitration Centres vis-a-vis Public Interest and Transparency Measures** – *Aayushi Singh*

Undeniably impending public interest in publication of awards and transparency of proceedings is worthy of attention, however till what extent should this right be strained remains contentious. The seemingly overwhelming benefits of transparency need to be weighed against the legitimate interest of parties in having disputes settled swiftly and confidentially. To make the analysis more detailed, attention has been devoted to institutional transparency in Asian arbitration centres (Singapore International Arbitration Centre, Asian International Arbitration Centre (erstwhile Kuala Lumpur Regional Centre for Arbitration), Hong Kong International Arbitration Centre and China International

Economic and Trade Arbitration Commission) vis-à-vis public interest. Measures that may be adopted by arbitral institutions during terms of pre-award and post-award transparency have been suggested. 244

### Final Offer as a First Choice? Police Arbitration: A New Zealand Case Study – Giuseppe Carabetta

### The Arbitrator as Mediator: Ku-ring-gai Council v Ichor Constructions Pty Ltd [2018] NSWSC 610 – George Pasas

### The Empty Idea of Mediator Impartiality – Jonathan Crowe and Rachael Field

Mediator neutrality has attracted significant criticism in recent decades. Some authors, such as Laurence Boulle, have suggested that these criticisms can be avoided by focusing instead on mediator impartiality. This shift is now enshrined in mediator codes of conduct in several jurisdictions, including Australia. This article argues that mediator impartiality fails to provide a tenable foundation for mediation ethics. The concept either reproduces the traditional problems of mediator neutrality or offers mediators and parties little practical guidance in understanding the mediator's ethical role. In either case, the notion of mediator impartiality itself is effectively empty, meaning it cannot supply a solid foundation for ethical practice.

### **Will the Creation of AFCA Be of Benefit to the Parties That Come Before It?** – *Andrew Greenhalgh*

#### A Comparative Analysis of the Mediation in Kazakhstan and States of Victoria and New South Wales – Saida Assanova, Almas Serikuly and Arhat Abikenov

Alternative dispute resolution is a popular means for resolving disputes in many countries, including Australia. Parties to a dispute in Australia try to avoid the lengthy litigation and prefer to mediate. Therefore, Australian experience of the development of mediation may serve as a good example for Kazakhstan, a country in Central Asia, which shares many similarities with Australia. This article discusses history of the use of mediation in Australia and Kazakhstan and provides an overview of legislation governing mediation in Kazakhstan and the Australian States of Victoria and New South Wales. In addition, authors of the article propose several recommendations the implementation of which may help Kazakhstan develop mediation in the country. This, in turn, may help the parties to a dispute save their time and find the win-win solution, as well as reduce the workload of public courts and save budget money.