COMPANY AND SECURITIES LAW JOURNAL

Volume 38, Number 4

2021

| EDITORIAL – Editor: Edmund Finnane | 219 |
|------------------------------------|-----|
|------------------------------------|-----|

ARTICLES

A Comparative Analysis of Product Intervention Powers in Australia and the United Kingdom – Christopher Chiam

Insights into the Collateralisation of Intangible and Intellectual Property Assets by Non-financial Listed Entities on the ASX/S&P 200 – Professor Tony Ciro and Dr Bülend Terzioglu

The article examines the collateralisation practices of the top 200 ASX/S&P listed entities. Key highlights of the current era include a resurgence of start-ups with intellectual property and other intangibles as their primary assets. Conventionally, lenders display a strong preference for tangible assets as security. Credit providers' preference for tangible assets for collateral, diminishes the funding options for many entities, especially start-ups, which have a limited asset pool they can pledge. This empirical study uses inductive qualitative content analysis to investigate the extent of disclosures of (1) assets pledged as security and (2) intellectual property in annual reports of the top 200 Australian-listed companies, and to (3) examine the use of intellectual property and intangible assets as collateral with credit transactions. Also examined is whether pitfalls continue to exist with the current intellectual property framework in Australia for companies wanting to collateralise their intangible assets. Results point to high levels of inconsistency in collateral reporting practices.

People, Planet, and Profits: Re-purposing the Company – Ross Grantham

The social and environmental costs imposed by business corporations on society are significant and, as a society, we are increasingly concerned about how to mitigate these impacts. It is this concern and the apparent inability of government to take decisive steps that has made the question of the company's "purpose" the "hottest topic" in corporate

| governance. This article explores recent proposals by several highly eminent bodies to | |
|---|-----|
| fundamentally reconceive of the company as a purposeful entity that exists, not to make | |
| profit, but to solve the problems faced by people and planet. The article will assess the | |
| normative arguments that underpin these proposals and will highlight the shortcomings of | |
| a purposeful company approach. | 250 |