

AUSTRALIAN JOURNAL OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW

Volume 31, Number 2

2024

EDITORIAL – *Editors: Professor Matthew Groves and Professor Greg Weeks*

Climate Change as a Relevant Consideration – Mark II 47

CASENOTE – *Editors: Professor Matthew Groves and Professor Greg Weeks*

Losing the Plywood for the Trees: The Consequences of Jurisdictional Error for Adjudications under the Building Industry Fairness (Security of Payment) Act 2017 (Qld) – Connor Wright 50

ARTICLES

Decoding Justice: A Data-driven Approach to Evaluating and Improving the Administrative Review of Refugee Cases in Australia – *Daniel Ghezlbash, Mia Bridle, Keyvan Dorostkar and Tsz-Kit Jeffrey Kwan*

This article presents analysis of a data set of over 26,000 applications for review of Protection Visa decisions in Australia’s Administrative Appeals Tribunal. The data suggest that the rate at which applications for review are successful may vary based on the member who hears the case and a number of other factors. We outline how statistics of the nature analysed in our study could inform interventions and reforms aimed at improving the administrative review of Protection Visa cases, and outline lessons for the design and operation of Australia’s new Administrative Review Tribunal. 59

Non-disclosure of Relevant Material and Chapter III: The Tantalising Promise of Due Process Rights Protection by the Australian Constitution in the Gageler High Court Using Separation of Powers Principles – *Anthony Gray and Pauline Collins*

Recent times have seen an increase in the use of secrecy measures in the context of a legal proceeding, by which a person the subject of legal action may not see or hear evidence being used against them. This is contrary to fundamental characteristics of judicial process, including procedural fairness. This article discusses a recent High Court decision where growing dissatisfaction with the use of such procedures is evident, with three members finding such provisions unconstitutional. The article places these developments within the broader context of the development of Chapter III jurisprudence. The recent decision shows the Court in a phase where it seeks to more robustly apply the separation of powers reflected in Chapter III, with positive implications for liberty. It might also herald the eventual adoption of proportionality analysis in this context. 93

