

THE AUSTRALIAN LAW JOURNAL

Volume 92, Number 12

December 2018

CURRENT ISSUES – Editor: Justice François Kunc

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights at 70	943
100 Years of Women in Legal Practice in New South Wales	944
National Integrity Commission Back on the Agenda	944
Judicial Stress	945
Judicial Bullying Revisited	945
The Court of Justice of the European Union Intervenes in Poland	946
Remembering Australian Lawyer Servicemen	946
Charles Melville Macnaghten CMG 1879–1931	946
Arthur Seaforth Blackburn VC, 1892–1960	947
Percy Valentine Storkey VC 1893–1969	948
New Australian President of the International Association of Judges	949

CONVEYANCING AND PROPERTY – Editors: Robert Angyal SC and Brendan Edgeworth

The Recent “Careless Mortgage” Exceptions to Indefeasibility in Australia in Light of New Zealand’s Land Transfer Act 2017 (NZ) – An Opportunity Missed?	950
Retreat from Immediate Indefeasibility?	953
The High Court on Part Performance	954

CRIME AND EVIDENCE – Editor: Justice Phillip Priest

Special Leave to Appeal to the High Court in Criminal Cases: A Change of Approach?	957
Tendency Evidence Developments	958

PERSONALIA – Editor: Emily Vale

Commonwealth

Chief Justice John Pascoe	960
Chief Justice William Alstergren	962

New South Wales

Appointment of Senior Counsel	962
-------------------------------------	-----

Victoria

Justice Melinda Richards 962

Western Australia

Chief Justice Peter Quinlan 963

RECENT CASES – Editor: Ruth C A Higgins SC

Corporations – Service of Originating Process – Uniform Civil Procedure Rules r 6.2
Provided for Service Within Six Months – Supreme Court (Corporations) Rules r 2.7
Provided for Service as soon as Practicable After Filing and, in any Case, at Least Five
Days Before Date Fixed for Hearing – Whether r 2.7 Inconsistent with r 6.2 964

ARTICLES

**BY THE SKIN OF OUR TEETH – THE PASSING OF THE WOMEN’S LEGAL STATUS
ACT 1918**

The Hon Virginia Bell AC

This year marks the centenary of the enactment of the Women’s Legal Status Act 1918 (NSW). The common law had long been resistant to recognition of women as “persons” for the purposes of holding public office and admission to the legal profession. A group of remarkable women fought, inch by inch, Act by Act to overcome that resistance. While the Commonwealth Franchise Act 1902 (Cth) gave women the right to vote and made them eligible to stand for election to the new Commonwealth Parliament, suffragists quickly appreciated the vote was of little account while women were excluded from a voice in the State Parliament, which was responsible for so much legislation that affected women’s lives. And they fought to support Ada Evans in her long struggle to be admitted to the New South Wales Bar. It would be many decades before fundamental social and economic changes allowed women to begin to take advantage of the rights that the Women’s Legal Status Act conferred. A lesson from 100 years of history is that changing the legislative framework is only one part of the struggle, and that the resistance to practical equality is not so easily overcome. 966

**JUDICIAL REVIEW OF THE FAIRNESS AND REASONABLENESS, AS BETWEEN
CLASS MEMBERS, OF FEDERAL CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENTS**

Vince Morabito

Settlement is the most common way in which federal class actions are resolved in Australia. In order to safeguard the interests of absent class members the settlement or discontinuance of class actions must be judicially approved. A crucial component of this judicial scrutiny entails a determination of whether the settlement in question gives preferential treatment to some class members over others and, if so, whether there are strong reasons that justify the approval of the settlement agreement notwithstanding this differential treatment. Despite the conceptual and practical importance of this dimension of the judicial review of class action settlements, it has been largely ignored in the legal literature. The aim of this article is to address this lacuna by exploring the way in which federal trial judges have, over the last 25 years or so, evaluated the fairness and reasonableness, between the class members inter se, of federal class action settlements. 976

CORPORATE KNOWLEDGE: THE SEARCH FOR THE RELEVANT MIND(S)

Daniel Reynolds

The law of corporate knowledge is a complex field, engaging principles of company law, agency, statutory interpretation, and others. This article is an attempt to summarise the law in this area, in particular arguing that the “directing mind and will” formulation should no longer be understood as a test of general application, but rather as one subsumed into the taxonomy set out in *Meridian Global Funds Management Asia Ltd v Securities Commission*; attempting to provide a more detailed explanation of how each category of the “rules of attribution” might work in practice; and making the case that there may yet be some work for aggregation to do. 991

BOOK REVIEWS – Editor: Angelina Gomez

Heydon: Selected Speeches and Papers, by The Hon JD Heydon AC QC, Edited by John Sackar and Thomas Prince (eds). 1009

Law of Associations, by Gino Dal Pont. 1012

Australian Law Journal Reports

HIGH COURT REPORTS – Staff of Thomson Reuters

DECISIONS RECEIVED IN NOVEMBER 2018

Alford v Parliamentary Joint Committee on Corporations and Financial Services (<i>Constitutional Law</i>) ([2018] HCA 57)	1084
Customs, Comptroller General of v Zappia (<i>Taxes and Duties</i>) ([2018] HCA 54)	1053
McPhillamy v The Queen (<i>Criminal Law</i>) ([2018] HCA 52)	1045
Nauru, Republic of v WET040 (<i>High Court and Federal Court</i>) ([2018] HCA 56)	1080
Plaintiff S164/2018 v Minister for Home Affairs (<i>High Court and Federal Court</i>) ([2018] HCA 51)	1039
SAS Trustee Corporation v Miles (<i>Police</i>) ([2018] HCA 55)	1064
Wehbe v Minister for Home Affairs (<i>Citizenship and Migration; High Court and Federal Court</i>) ([2018] HCA 50)	1033